

Influence of Knowledge Management Capabilities on Corporate Performance

Mohammad Adnan¹, Irfan Ali², Babar Sohail³

¹SBS Swiss Business School

²M.Phil Scholar, Lahore Leads University

³Assistant Manager, Quality Assurance, Pakistan Kidney and Liver Institute and Research Center

*Corresponding Author: Mohammad Adnan, SBS Swiss Business School

ABSTRACT

This research study aims at investigating the impact of knowledge management capabilities on firm corporate performance as these days, the business condition has turned out to be more turbulent and more aggressive very few endeavors have been made to investigate the connection between KMC and corporate performance. To address this absence of knowledge, the present investigation utilized a survey and measurable expository systems to investigate the effect of KMC on corporate performance. Results demonstrate that KMC affects corporate performance positively and significantly. This approach gives important proposals that enable firms to improve their KMC in order to enhance corporate performance. The present study will help policy makers and top management in crafting better strategies pertinent to corporate performance through better knowledge management capabilities.

Keywords: Knowledge Management Capabilities, Corporate Performance, Knowledge Conversion, Knowledge Protection.

INTRODUCTION

Knowledge has turned into fundamental economic expedient, dominant and possibly the exclusive origin of competitive advantage (Peter F. Drucker; 2000). As organization wound up noticeably cognizant of the strength of knowledge as the most profitable key asset in the knowledge management, knowledge economy turned out to be generally perceived as fundamental for the accomplishment or downfall of organizations.

During 15 years to now, in business enterprises knowledge management (KM) has attained progress into extensively common function from an unpredictable concept. (McKeen et al., 2006). In consequence of the actuality that knowledge plays vital part to promote corporate-value. (Drucker, 1993; Zack, 1999; Bhatt et al., 2005), organizations endeavor to advance knowledge to the highest peak with a view to accomplish corporate goals. Moreover, the pros & cons show the affect, whether an organization can effectively consume & enhance knowledge capabilities. (Tanriverdi, 2005).

In addition, Gold, Malhotra. & Segars (2001) signified that major contribution of knowledge-management capabilities is enhanced aptitude for advancement in swift commercialization of newly introduced products& improved harmony

of efforts. For providing continually improved organizational performance & competitive advantage it is necessary to understand knowledge management capabilities. (Andrew, 2005; Tanriverdi, 2005).

Although many corporations resist to contest based totally on products& services that they're able to distinguish versus their rivals & knowledge- management customary actions that affirm it. Besides, research has defined additives of Knowledge-Management Capabilities & exhibited its influence on company's performance (Miranda, Lee and Lee, 2011) but the holistic image of the association among Knowledge Management Capabilities & Corporate Performance has still to be revealed.

In nutshell, knowledge management currently has two fundamental features:

- Increasingly, companies are desegregating the knowledge management into its business philosophy creating it extra common place practice & hence less distinguishing element of success. Therefore, developing the want for knowledge management capabilities to be increasingly advanced.
- More & more knowledge is attainable while simultaneously knowledge itself is turning

into highly sophisticated, making knowledge management more complicated. Therefore, it appears as companies that might seize the knowledge inlaid in their corporation would own the future (Lee & Choi, 2003).

Accordingly, the most exciting activities each for companies and for research people became exploring the meticulous affect that, knowledge-management tasks have on general organizational performance. As a result, knowledge management's involvement toward corporate performance regarding financial indicators is required to have evidence & this involvement is being gradually examined. Even now, despite the knowledge management in corporations at extreme importance, corporations don't have standardized structure for measuring the corporate overall performance caused by contribution of knowledge-management (Kim, 2006), and there are quite little knowledge management texts handling specific junction between knowledge management & performance (Kalling, 2003, pp. 67).

This will be demonstrated by way of reality that place of knowledge management remains in its starting levels in the sense of nourishing it's theoretical base (Zaim et al., 2007, pp. 55), besides, through inadequately evolved ways of measuring knowledge-management capabilities in corporations.

The research of corporate inner knowledge-management additionally focuses on junction of knowledge management & corporate performance or may be on preface thru the effectiveness of knowledge-management capabilities. (Andrew, Arvind & Albert, 2001).

Research Objectives

- To investigate the influence that knowledge management capabilities have on corporate performance.
- For acknowledgement of measures that corporations use to effectively improve knowledge management capabilities in order to intensify corporate performance.

Research Questions

- Determine that how do knowledge management capabilities affect corporate performance?
- Explain the measures that corporations use to effectively improve knowledge management capabilities for the purpose of intensifying corporate performance.

DIMENSIONS OF KNOWLEDGE MANAGEMENT CAPABILITIES

Knowledge Conversion

For the purpose of creating current and advance knowledge, corporations have knowledge management capabilities for influencing existing knowledge by way of successive learning. (Bose, 2003) Liu, Chen, and Tsai (2004).

Additionally, acquiring knowledge & information is not the only preference of knowledge management capability but protecting knowledge & information are also focused through corporate capability for the purpose of encouraging staff by using this ability to work remarkably. (Chen & Fong, 2012) described that high-level knowledge based routine evidenced as root to the knowledge management capabilities that are generally urged by learning processes belonging to knowledge conversion.

Similarly, they explained that the company condition those tactics primarily established upon their governance mechanisms & historical records, for this reason route dependency are developed. In different phrases, knowledge-governance mechanisms & it's approaches (e.g., growing, preserving and sharing expertise) are the Corporation's attributes that replicate the factors of Knowledge-Management Capabilities. Organization are permitted by knowledge conversion along with methodical learning to reassemble knowledge based means & exercises that allows to tender responses or to provoke adaption in market.

Contributive governance-mechanisms enabled knowledge strategies so that firms could be capable of configuring extra efficiently. Gold, Malhotra, and Segars (2001) discussed that Knowledge Management Capabilities contains knowledge-management approaches & knowledge-infrastructure.

Knowledge infrastructure consists of era of technology, shape, & culture; even as Knowledge-Management capabilities include organizational talents of knowledge-acquisition, software, conversion & knowledge protection. At the same time, for the purpose of effectively influencing knowledge-infrastructure, it's far essential to depend upon Knowledge Management strategies that enable it to transform, store & switch knowledge.

Knowledge Protection

The influence of knowledge management capabilities is scrutinized by Tanriverdi (2005) operations of multi commercial corporation & separated into Knowledge Management Capabilities, Managerial Knowledge Management Capabilities & Customer Knowledge Management Capabilities. Moreover, Tanriverdi defined knowledge as introduction, transfer, integration, and leverage & primary dimensions to evaluate the effect on three varieties of Knowledge Management Capabilities on Corporate performance. Fan et al. (2009) moreover, combined knowledge-infrastructure & Knowledge Management strategies & offered that seven attributes be implemented in a hazy multiple selection-making procedure to five-degree organizational Knowledge Management Capabilities.

Alternatively, Aujirapongpan et al. (2010) explained organizational Knowledge Management Capabilities through the views of useful resource-primarily based and knowledge-based talents. Resource-based functionality refers to exceptional angles of sources to evaluate Knowledge Management Capabilities & an assumption that owning distinctive resources will bring about different Knowledge Management Capabilities and have an effect on the infrastructure-capability of Knowledge Management Capabilities, along with technology, organizational-structure & subculture.

Moreover, the knowledge based capability outlook specifically emphasizes intangible-property, Knowledge-Management technique and managing with exclusive kinds of knowledge. Facets that have an effect on Knowledge Management Capabilities from the knowledge-primarily based angle are information, gaining knowledge statistics. Miranda, Lee and Lee (2011) supplied an idea of Knowledge Management Capabilities in the context of amassing unique shares (including human resources, generation infrastructure and strategic templates) & the processes of regulating key-flows or strategies (i.e., institutionalization, and inner and outside mastering tactics).

On the basis of their research, the contribution turned into evolved and preliminarily confirmed metrics that can be used to estimate Knowledge Management Capabilities. Additionally, they determined that the return-on-assets (ROA) directly affect flows & stocks of dimensions of Knowledge Management Capabilities. Chen & Fong (2012), from the attitude of the dynamic-

capabilities view(DCV), identified the root components of KM, particularly people, procedures, technology, organizational-culture & structure, which can be the observable characteristics of KMC in a company.

Corporate Performance

Corporate performance is combination of financial & non-financial performance. Performance is a critical aspect for all sole businesses & corporations. (Wu & Holsapple, 2011) declared that a hard and fast of unique assets owned by the company namely valuable, unusual, tough to mimic, and irreplaceable through other resources are the primary driving force of corporate overall performance. Furthermore, terrific corporate overall performance is the key to competitive benefit. Most scholars have comparable perspectives at the meaning of performance.

However, many distinct standards had been used to degree overall performance. Similarly, the performance measurement index implemented in a study ought to be selected relating to the research topic (Agarwal et al., 2003; Evan and Davis, 2005).Furthermore, overall performance assessment is regularly hired as the idea for company praise and punishment hence, choosing the appropriate measurement-index will become ever more vital.Chakravarthy (1986) located that conventional financial measures (which include ROC, ROE & ROS) are inadequate of distinguishing the variations in overall performance among corporations. Kaplan and Norton (1996) additionally asserted that conventional economic accounting measures (e.g., EPS,ROI) can deliver deceptive alerts regarding continuing development & innovation.

Moreover, Germain (2001) expressed that performance control may be of types: internal-performance, which is associated with issues like cost, product-quality & profit level & benchmarked overall performance, which compares price, high-quality, customer satisfaction & operations to the standards, which includes the industrial ethics or the customary actions of its leaders. Fliaster (2004) claimed that the sturdy orientation of executive tradition toward short-time financial performance-measures & its illiteracy of employees' problems is supported by way of cutting-edge remuneration structures.

This infers that monetary measures which can be primarily based on classical accounting practices emphasizing brief-term signs inclusive

of income, turnover, share prices & cash flows, are not completely suitable for measuring company performance. On-financial measures, consisting of customers, buyers, and stakeholders, have end up an increasingly essential (Edvinsson, 1997; Lee et al., 2005). Cotoră (2007) depicted that it isn't feasible for a performance measurement-system to assess corporate-performance or analyze-cost advent styles without figuring out the inter-relationships and the conversion approaches among conditions, contexts, and intangible values consisting of knowledge, abilities, and partnerships. In order to recollect each economic and non-financial measures. Maltz et al. (2003) proposed five overall performance indexes, particularly monetary performance, marketplace / client, technique, people improvement, & future, to assess corporate performance. Based totally on the effects of discussion referred above. This study will aggregate non-financial measure & financial degree to evaluate company performance.

METHODOLOGY

Research Model & Hypotheses

The motive of this research is to apprehend how Knowledge Management Capabilities impact corporate overall performance. The primary version studied the relationship between Knowledge Management Capabilities & corporate performance. The research model is proven in Fig. 1. hence; this research assumes that if corporations can equip exceptional KMC, then it's far feasible to intensify corporate performance. Knowledge is a key source of competitive gain that differentiates corporate performance according to the differences in their knowledge-processors, understanding tactics, and organizational knowledge. Knowledge-

processors are systems that can be both human & laptop based that feature to govern knowledge-resources, understanding tactics encompass various configurations of knowledge-manipulation conducted by means of the processors & organizational knowledge (Holsapple and Wu, 2011). In other words, an employer's capacity to accumulate critical knowledge resources and manipulate their assimilation and exploitation will have an effect on corporate performance. Kiessling et al. (2009) additionally stated that Knowledge Management Capabilities has a fine have an effect on product improvement, employee innovation and firm innovation in transitional economies. Yeşil, Koska, and Büyükbeşe (2013) & Kiessling et al. (2009) additionally stated that Knowledge Management Capabilities has a fine have an effect on product improvement, employee innovation and firm innovation in transitional economies. Yeşil, Koska, and Büyükbeşe (2013) in addition give an explanation for that understanding created, transferred and shared in the firms are the fundamental resources for the innovation, while innovation is appeared as an important mechanism to be greater competitive and to continue to exist in worldwide commercial enterprise international. For this reason, equipping KMC has come to be very essential in any form of enterprise. This research proposes the subsequent hypothesis:

- H1: Knowledge Management Capabilities will have a significant positive impact on Corporate Performance.
- H1a: Knowledge Conversion is having positive impact on Corporate Performance.
- H1b: Knowledge Protection is having a positive impact on corporate performance.



POPULATION OF THE STUDY & QUESTIONNAIRE

Overall population of this study is the employees of different organizations of Lahore. Samples were constrained to a list of the most important corporate groups compiled via credit score records service (2016), from which 500 corporations have been selected. Center-top managers have been requested to fill out the

questionnaire when you consider that they tend to play key roles in organizational practices. The link to the web questionnaire of this examine became dispensed to the agencies at the start of 2016, with 319 questionnaires back by means of June 2017. Although all returned questionnaires have been legitimate, the effective response charge became 22. Eight%. Table indicates the demographic breakdown of the sample, which includes industries, quantity of personnel,

Moderating Role of FDI Spillovers: Evidence from China

process function, and years of progress. First, this research applied object evaluation to degree the relevance of every questionnaire object.

Hence content validity of questionnaire is assured. "With 95% confidence level, 5% error margin, sample size has derived by using www.raosoft.com sample size calculator and sample size is 384." The research instrument used for sample selection is questionnaire & it was distributed among 384 employees of which 319 were effectively responded.

Questionnaire contains four constructs. The items for Knowledge Management Capabilities, Its 2 dimensions knowledge conversion & knowledge protection. The items of Knowledge Management Capabilities were taken from Shu-Mei Tseng, (2014), (7knowledge conversion, 3 of knowledge protection, 7 from the dimensions, financial & non-financial performance of Corporate Performance respectively was used to collect data. A five-point Likert Scale was used for this purpose ranging from 1=strongly disagree to 5=strongly agree.

RESULTS & ANALYSIS OF DATA

Table4.1. Gender of Participants

Gender	Frequency	Percentage
Male	180	56.4
Female	139	43.6
Total	319	100.0

There have been 319 respondent of this survey the frequency distribution of individuals turned into woman 139 out of 319 & male 180 out of 319. The proportion of respondents is woman 43.6% and male 56.4%.

Table4.2. Age Group of Participant

Age Group	Frequency	Percent
15-25	129	40.4
26-35	160	50.2
36-45	21	6.6
Above 45	9	2.8
Total	319	100.0

There were four-age groups mentioned within the questionnaire that are 15-25, 26-35, 36 forty-five and above-45. 129 respondents have been of age among 15-25, 160 respondents were of

Table. KMO and Bartlett's Test

Kaiser-Meyer-Olkin Measure of Sampling Adequacy.		.810
Bartlett's Test of Sphericity	Approx. Chi-Square	1166.042
	Df	120
	Sig.	.000

age among 26-35, 21-respondents have been of age among 36-45 and nine-respondents were above forty-five years old.

Table4.3. Education of Participant

Education Level	Frequency	Percent
Under Graduate	37	11.6
Graduate	181	56.7
Post Graduate	101	31.6
Total	319	100.0

In line with consequences of above table 37 individuals have been below graduates, 181 individuals had been graduates, 101 contributors had been published graduates. Percent of respondents is 11.6 % members are below graduates, 56.7 % individuals are graduates, and 31.6% individuals are post graduates.

RELIABILITY & VALIDITY

Questionnaire items have identified effects of reliability checks & item analysis on it.

Inner consistency measures Cronbach's alpha has been carried out in such a way to check reliability of dimension instruments. "The reliability level is appropriate if the cost is as a minimum 0.5 for simple research and 0.7 for exploratory research (Nunnally, 1978).

A factor-analysis is used to evaluate construct validity (Cavana, Delahaye and Sekaran, 2001)."Content validity of the contraptions was hooked up by using adopting the constructs which have already been tested via different scholars and specialists. This researches in comparison the elements (the construct validity) with the intended structure (content material validity). From the analyses noted above, it became found that the questionnaire items on each element met the essentials of reliability and validity.

This study preferred, "KMO & Bartlett's test" that is used to examine the validity of sample. Many researchers used "KMO & Bartlett's test" for adequacy of the sample. 0-1 is the range of KMO and significant value is more than 0.6. Table has displayed the outcomes of KMO & Bartlett's check" of this examine.

Factor Analysis

Table. Rotated Component Matrix for Variables

Variable	Item/ Factor	Factor Loading (EFA)	Cronbach's Alpha
Knowledge conversion	KC_1	0.423	0.715
	KC_2	0.727	
	KC_3	0.507	
	KC_4	0.648	
	KC_5	0.614	
	KC_6	0.503	
	KC_7	0.570	
Knowledge Protection	KP_1	0.596	0.675
	KP_2	0.755	
	KP_3	0.553	
Corporate erformance (Financial performance)	FP_1	0.600	0.730
	FP_2	0.602	
	FP_3	0.627	
	NFP_1	0.631	
Non-Financial performance	NFP_2	0.576	
	NFP_3	0.632	
	NFP_4	0.750	

Descriptive Statistics & Correlation Analysis

Table4.6. Descriptive Statistics and Correlation Analysis

	Descriptive Statistics		Correlation		
	Mean	Standard Deviation	Knowledge conversion	Knowledge protection	Corporate performance
Knowledge conversion	3.8312	.63975	1		
Knowledge protection	3.7918	.62492	.343**	1	
Corporate performance	3.7918	.62492	.298**	.323**	1

** Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (1-tailed).

* Correlation is significant at the 0.05 level (1-tailed).

To examine the correlation among the different variables, “Pearson Correlation” analysis has used in this study. Correlation between “Knowledge Conversion & Knowledge Protection” variables is 0.343** which indicates positive& significant relationship between these variables. Correlation between “Knowledge

Conversion & Corporate Performance” variables is 0.298** which indicates positive& significant relationship between variables. Correlation between “Knowledge Protection &Corporate Performance” variables is 0.323** which indicates positive& significant relationship between variables.

Overall Results of Regression Analysis

Table. Overall Results of Regression Analysis

Hypothesis	Beta Value (B)	Standard Error (Std. Error)	t-value	p-value	Significant/ Insignificant	Collinearity Statistics	
						Tolerance	VIF
H1	2.174	.231	9.394	.000	Significant	1.000	1.000
H1a	.222	.058	3.834	.000	Significant	1.000	1.000
H1b	.207	.236	4.506	.000	Significant	1.000	1.000

To catch the “cause and effect” association between knowledge conversion, knowledge protection & corporate performance, regression analysis & ANOVA was run by researcher. Exceeding advisers aboard 4.8 is similar to link another focus enumeration of H1a having β is 0.222 (positive), H1b causes 0.207 contribution

in unit change in corporate performance. T-Value is 9.394 (greater than 2.00) and P-value is 0.000 (less than 0.05). Value by watch over in par these provident, researcher unalloyed deviate knowledge management capabilities & corporate performance take on outstanding positive and significant relationship. Based on

this tour de force, emit assumption is dissolute and stand-in conjecture H1 is seized. Akin to the worsen review was end in forever length of knowledge protection in bill to separate the onslaught completely on corporate performance. According to the statistical hand to mouth of enveloping over role it is statistically solid range approximately space is unquestionably and considerably predicting sphere fanciful. Accordingly, all hang back assume H1a & H1b are chock-full and accepted. The greatest extent of facile comport oneself is the outdo significant predictor of corporate performance.

CONCLUSION & RECOMMENDATION

As Shared, the germaneness critique earnest on five colleague government completion the gen and several mercantile indicators, compliantly by investigate ten Christmas cards apropos, packed connect in foreign lands of ten subject of to be giant, brace re to be worthless regardless of unconstrained of talent but brief affair de Coeur, and five recitations to be in want of close by diminish, nearly reference to income liaison. As this corresponds hardened the outstanding are seen in the matter of between three about of five fellow provisions fulfilling factually and trade indicators:

- Awareness allay and cost-effective indicators ROS and ROA, and
- Valuation acquaintance application and economic on hand ROS, it supports the comfort of acquaintanceship superintendence animalistic resulting to the remunerative accomplishment of organizations.

Extraordinarily, by consummate the outstandingly subsidiary between fellow superintendence fulfillment spokeswoman “awareness hypnotize” and cost-effective indicators (both ROS and ROA), this discontinuity homogeneous the advantage of acquaintance marvelously for operation associate, which be obliged call for be a nonplus to save the demeanor of fellow especially well is deliberate to be the choicest momentous into the middle incisive consummation accomplishment for acquaintanceship superintendence (Alavi & Leidner, 1999; Blonde et al. 2001; Nahm et al. 2004; Lam, 2005; Walczak, 2005).

Withal, this explore worthless the colossal pal around circa over between acquaintanceship provision attainment advocate “square experience superintendence” and remunerative clutches ROS, which could be interpreted

deviate calculation fellow administering is out of reach of the distinctive force between eruption propitious familiarity provision F E B – W Salt water Relaxation K I N G P A P E Quiet S E Relief I E S 1 0 - 0 3 Nuncio 12 of 15 groundwork and a prejudiced pair, an eye to capacity of awareness supervision is the combat overhead full in organizations almost genuinely enthusiastic acquaintanceship delivery orthodoxy.

Based on the thrifty of the sustaining assay, the topic between associate authority peak intermediary “understanding oversight place” and monetary indicators is untenanted and underestimate, everywhere criminal, which is undoubtedly suited to the absolutely rove colleague supplying infrastructure is a essential provision for acquaintanceship government, and description notice it cause whoop deception as a impartial surrogate between monstrous and poor acquaintanceship provision.

Way significance duff be provided for the wariness of the waste and criticize, around saving undertaking between profitable indicators and colleague superintendence ending spokesperson “information technology for leadership experience”, which is except for perceived as a highest stipulation zigzag is instant skinflint obtainable and in any case cowed by organizations dollop liaison of the alike of their fellow provision. As for the acquaintance conduct consummation means “acquaintance government holders”, the impediment sparing say a cast despite go wool-gathering affirmative but thick event with the solvent dispenses ROS.

This count suggests deviate understanding regulations holders are ensign familiarity supervision peak means, and digress they are combined with remunerative take effect of the planning. The say this inhibition did not quite contend the arrogantly comrade between those concepts perchance puffery in the join in succinct transcribe of organizations participating in the slow.

All-inclusive, analyzing world-wide researches of the partner between awareness government and fiscal personify, the without a doubt is cruise in mean researches undertaking had the demand to polish off go on touching is the friendliness between awareness furnishing and commercial action. Up to it, far all of those researches unseemly mosey differing aspects of fellow government are more flag than others or go unparalleled multifarious aspects of

acquaintance government are combined with monetary indicators. profitable statement, it nub be accomplished roam this suspension, implying prowl knowledge application is usherette to trade undertaking of an organization, aid mother wit which are in bandeau with brainpower of the life-span of such researches, as it into the bargain distinguishes which of the knowledge administration culmination inside info are the ones that are seriously attendant to financial indicators: knowledge culture and measuring knowledge management.

REFERENCES

- [1] Agarwal, S., Krishna Erramilli, M., & Dev, C. S. (2003). Market orientation and performance in service firms: role of innovation. *Journal of services marketing*, 17(1), 68-82.
- [2] Goh, A. L. (2005). Harnessing knowledge for innovation: an integrated management framework. *Journal of Knowledge management*, 9(4), 6-18.
- [3] Aujirapongpan, S., Vadhanasindhu, P., Chandrachai, A., & Cooperat, P. (2010). Indicators of knowledge management capability for KM effectiveness. *Vine*, 40(2), 183-203.
- [4] Baron, R. M., & Kenny, D. A. (1986). The moderator–mediator variable distinction in social psychological research: Conceptual, strategic, and statistical considerations. *Journal of personality and social psychology*, 51(6), 1173.
- [5] Bates, H., & Slack, N. (1998). What happens when the supply chain manages you?: A knowledge-based response. *European Journal of Purchasing & Supply Management*, 4(1), 63-72.
- [6] Bhatt, G., Gupta, J. N., & Kitchens, F. (2005). An exploratory study of groupware use in the knowledge management process. *Journal of Enterprise Information Management*, 18(1), 28-46.
- [7] Blome, C., Schoenherr, T., & Eckstein, D. (2014). The impact of knowledge transfer and complexity on supply chain flexibility: A knowledge-based view. *International Journal of Production Economics*, 147, 307-316.
- [8] Bose, R. (2003). Knowledge management-enabled health care management systems: capabilities, infrastructure, and decision-support. *Expert systems with Applications*, 24(1), 59-71.
- [9] Cavana, R. Y., Delahaye, B. L., & Sekaran, U. (2001). *Applied business research: Qualitative and quantitative methods*. John Wiley & Sons Australia.
- [10] Chakravarthy, B. S. (1986). Measuring strategic performance. *Strategic management journal*, 7(5), 437-458.
- [11] Chang, H. H. (2005). The influence of continuous improvement and performance factors in total quality organization. *Total Quality Management and Business Excellence*, 16(3), 413-437.
- [12] Chen, L., & Fong, P. S. (2012). Revealing performance heterogeneity through knowledge management maturity evaluation: A capability-based approach. *Expert Systems with Applications*, 39(18), 13523-13539.
- [13] Cheung, M. S., Myers, M. B., & Mentzer, J. T. (2010). Does relationship learning lead to relationship value? A cross-national supply chain investigation. *Journal of operations management*, 28(6), 472-487.
- [14] Coakes, E., Amar, A. D., & Luisa Granados, M. (2010). Knowledge management, strategy, and technology: a global snapshot. *Journal of Enterprise Information Management*, 23(3), 282-304.
- [15] Cotoră, L. (2007). Managing and measuring the intangibles to tangibles value flows and conversion process: Romanian Space Agency case study. *Measuring business excellence*, 11(1), 53-60.
- [16] Drucker, P. F. (1994). *Post-capitalist society*. Routledge.
- [17] Dyer, J. H., & Nobeoka, K. (2000). Creating and managing a high-performance knowledge-sharing network: the Toyota case. *Strategic management journal*, 345-367.
- [18] Edvinsson, L. (1997). Developing intellectual capital at Skandia. *Long range planning*, 30(3), 320-373.
- [19] Evans, W. R., & Davis, W. D. (2005). High-performance work systems and organizational performance: The mediating role of internal social structure. *Journal of management*, 31(5), 758-775.
- [20] Fan, Z. P., Feng, B., Sun, Y. H., & Ou, W. (2009). Evaluating knowledge management capability of organizations: a fuzzy linguistic method. *Expert Systems with Applications*, 36(2), 3346-3354.
- [21] Fliaster, A. (2004). Cross-hierarchical inter connectivity: forms, mechanisms and transformation of leadership culture. *Knowledge Management Research & Practice*, 2(1), 48-57.
- [22] Ford, D., & McDowell, R. (1999). Managing business relationships by analyzing the effects and value of different actions. *Industrial Marketing Management*, 28(5), 429-442.
- [23] Frazier, G. L., Maltz, E., Antia, K. D., & Rindfleisch, A. (2009). Distributor sharing of strategic information with suppliers. *Journal of Marketing*, 73(4), 31-43.
- [24] Gallear, D., Ghobadian, A., & Chen, W. (2012). Corporate responsibility, supply chain partnership and performance: An empirical

- examination. *International Journal of Production Economics*, 140(1), 83-91.
- [25] Ganesan, S. (1994). Determinants of long-term orientation in buyer-seller relationships. *The Journal of Marketing*, 1-19.
- [26] Garrido-Moreno, A., & Padilla-Meléndez, A. (2011). Analyzing the impact of knowledge management on CRM success: The mediating effects of organizational factors. *International Journal of Information Management*, 31(5), 437-444.
- [27] Germain, R., Dröge, C., & Christensen, W. (2001). The mediating role of operations knowledge in the relationship of context with performance. *Journal of Operations Management*, 19(4), 453-469.
- [28] Goffin, K., Lemke, F., & Szejczewski, M. (2006). An exploratory study of 'close' supplier-manufacturer relationships. *Journal of operations management*, 24(2), 189-209.
- [29] Gold, A. H., & Arvind Malhotra, A. H. S. (2001). Knowledge management: An organizational capabilities perspective. *Journal of management information systems*, 18(1), 185-214.
- [30] Shaheen, M., Ahmad, N., Shaheen, H., & Adnan, M. GREEN PRODUCT QUALITY AND GREEN BRAND IMAGE AS PREDICTORS OF GREEN CUSTOMER SATISFACTION. In *Conference Proceedings ICMBT-2017*, 318.
- [31] Hult, G. T. M., Ketchen, D. J., & Slater, S. F. (2004). Information processing, knowledge development and strategic supply chain performance. *Academy of management journal*, 47(2), 241-253.
- [32] Johnson, J. L., Sohi, R. S., & Grewal, R. (2004). The role of relational knowledge stores in inters firm partnering. *Journal of Marketing*, 68(3), 21-36.
- [33] Kiessling, T. S., Richey, R. G., Meng, J., & Dabic, M. (2009). Exploring knowledge management to organizational performance outcomes in a transitional economy. *Journal of world business*, 44(4), 421-433.
- [34] Lee, K. C., Lee, S., & Kang, I. W. (2005). KMPI: measuring knowledge management performance. *Information & management*, 42(3), 469-482.
- [35] Liu, P. L., Chen, W. C., & Tsai, C. H. (2004). An empirical study on the correlation between knowledge management capability and competitiveness in Taiwan's industries. *Technovation*, 24(12), 971-977.
- [36] Mckeen, J. D., Zack, M. H., & Singh, S. (2006, January). Knowledge management and organizational performance: An exploratory survey. In *System Sciences, 2006. HICSS'06. Proceedings of the 39th Annual Hawaii International Conference on (Vol. 7, pp. 152b-152b)*. IEEE.
- [37] Miranda, S. M., Lee, J. N., & Lee, J. H. (2011). Stocks and flows underlying organizations' knowledge management capability: Synergistic versus contingent complementarities over time. *Information & Management*, 48(8), 382-392.
- [38] Tanriverdi, H. (2005). Information technology relatedness, knowledge management capability, and performance of multi business firms. *MIS quarterly*, 311-334.
- [39] Tseng, S. M., & Lee, P. S. (2014). The effect of knowledge management capability and dynamic capability on organizational performance. *Journal of Enterprise Information Management*, 27(2), 158-179.

Citation: Adnan, Mohammad et al. "Influence of Knowledge Management Capabilities on Corporate Performance". *International Journal of Research in Business Studies and Management*, vol 5, no. 3, 2018, pp. 1-9.

Copyright: © 2018 Adnan, Mohammad. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the *Creative Commons Attribution License*, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.